



INCIDENTS INVOLVING THE 1992 FUND

SLOPS

Note by the Director

Summary:

The *Slops* suffered a fire and explosion whilst at anchor in the port of Piraeus (Greece). At its July 2000 session the Executive Committee decided that the *Slops* should not be considered a 'ship' for the purpose of the 1992 Civil Liability Convention and the 1992 Fund Convention and that these Conventions did not apply to this incident.

Two companies which carried out clean-up operations have taken legal action against the 1992 Fund, arguing that since the shipowner is manifestly incapable of satisfying their claims for compensation and they have taken all reasonable measures against him, they are entitled to compensation from the Fund. At its July 2002 session the Executive Committee decided that the companies had not provided any information which would modify its position that the *Slops* should not be considered a 'ship' and instructed the Director to oppose the action. A court hearing will be held on 8 October 2002.

Action to be taken:

Information to be noted.

1 **The incident**

- 1.1 On 15 June 2000 the Greek-registered waste oil reception facility *Slops* (10 815 GT) laden with some 5 000 m³ of oily water, of which 1 000 – 2 000 m³ was believed to be oil, suffered an explosion and caught fire at an anchorage in the port of Piraeus (Greece). An unknown but substantial quantity of oil was spilled from the *Slops*, some of which burned in the ensuing fire.
- 1.2 It appears that the *Slops* had no liability insurance in accordance with Article VII.1 of the 1992 Civil Liability Convention.
- 1.3 Port berths, dry docks and repair yards to the north of the anchorage were impacted before the oil moved southwards out of the port area and stranded on a number of islands, including the north coast of Egina island, some 11 nautical miles south of the port. A local contractor was engaged by the owner of the *Slops* to undertake clean-up operations at sea in conjunction with the Hellenic

Coastguard. The same contractor undertook shoreline clean-up operations, focusing on sensitive tourist areas.

2 Applicability of the 1992 Civil Liability Convention and the 1992 Fund Convention

- 2.1 The *Slops*, which was registered with the Piraeus Ships Registry in 1994, was originally designed and constructed for the carriage of oil in bulk as cargo. In 1995 it underwent a major conversion in the course of which its propeller was removed and its engine was deactivated and officially sealed. It was indicated that the purpose of the sealing of the engine and the removal of the propeller was to convert the status of the craft from a ship to a floating oily waste receiving and processing facility. Since the conversion the *Slops* appeared to have remained permanently at anchor at its present location and had been used exclusively as a waste oil storage and processing unit. The local Port Authority confirmed that the *Slops* had been permanently at anchor since May 1995 without propulsive equipment. It was understood that the oil residues recovered from the processed slops were sold as low-grade fuel oil.
- 2.2 At its 8th session, held in July 2000, the Executive Committee considered whether the craft fell within the definition of 'ship' under the 1992 Civil Liability Convention and the 1992 Fund Convention. The Committee decided that the *Slops* should not be considered a 'ship' for the purpose of the 1992 Civil Liability Convention and the 1992 Fund Convention and that therefore these Conventions did not apply to this incident (document 92FUND/EXC.8/8, paragraph 4.3.8).

3 Request to submit the issue to arbitration

- 3.1 At its 11th session, held in January 2001, the Executive Committee considered a request by the clean-up contractor which had carried out the clean up operations to submit to binding arbitration the issue of whether the *Slops* fell within the definition of 'ship' in the 1992 Conventions.
- 3.2 The Committee endorsed the Director's view that it would not be appropriate to submit to arbitration the question of whether the governing bodies' interpretation of the definition was correct (document 92FUND/EXC.11/6, paragraph 4.3.13).

4 Legal actions

- 4.1 In October 2001 two Greek companies took legal action in the Court of first instance in Piraeus (Greece) against the registered owner of the *Slops* claiming compensation for costs of clean-up operations and preventive measures for US\$1.7 million (£1.1 million) and US\$859 000 (£550 000) (plus interest), respectively. The claimants served the writ on the 1992 Fund in January 2002.
- 4.2 The companies alleged that they had been instructed by the owner of the *Slops* to carry out clean-up operations and to take preventive measures in response to the oil spill. They also stated that they had informed the Greek Ministry of Merchant Marine on a daily basis of the operations which had been carried out during a period of 37 days. They mentioned that the operations were monitored by an expert engaged by the 1992 Fund. The companies stated that they had requested the owner of the *Slops* to pay the above-mentioned costs but that he had failed to do so.
- 4.3 The companies did not in their court action refer to the 1992 Civil Liability Convention. It appeared that the action was based on the owner of the *Slops* not having fulfilled his contractual obligations to pay the cost of the operations.
- 4.4 Notification of the 1992 Fund of legal actions against the registered owner is governed by Article 7.6 of the 1992 Fund Convention. Such notification can be made only if that action is based on the 1992 Civil Liability Convention. This action was not based on that Convention. In addition, the provisions of the Greek Civil Procedural Code on notification of actions had not

been complied with. The Director decided therefore that the 1992 Fund should not intervene in the proceedings. The action will be heard by the Court on 8 October 2002.

- 4.5 In February 2002 these companies took separate legal actions against the 1992 Fund in the Court of first instance in Piraeus claiming compensation for the cost of clean-up operations and preventive measures for the same amounts as those referred to in paragraph 4.1 above. The 1992 Fund was informed of these actions in June 2002.
- 4.6 In their pleadings the companies have stated that the *Slops* was constructed exclusively to carry oil by sea (ie was constructed as a tanker), that it had a nationality certificate as a vessel and that it was still registered as a tanker with the Piraeus Ship's Registry. They have also maintained that even when the *Slops* operated as an oil separation unit (a slops handling unit), it floated at sea and that its only purpose was to carry oil in its hull. They have mentioned that the *Slops* did not have any liability insurance under the 1992 Civil Liability Convention.
- 4.7 The companies have stated that the registered owner had no assets apart from the *Slops* which had been destroyed by fire and did not even have scrap value. They have argued that they have taken all reasonable measures against the owner of the *Slops*, namely legal action against the owner, investigation into the owner's financial situation, requesting the court to arrest the assets belonging to the owner and that the owner should be declared bankrupt. They have maintained that, since the owner is manifestly incapable of satisfying their claims, they are entitled to compensation for their costs as specified in paragraph 4.5 above.
- 4.8 The Director has engaged Greek lawyers to represent the 1992 Fund in the court proceedings.
- 4.9 At a hearing on 11 June 2002, the Court decided to postpone its consideration of the case to 8 October 2002.
- 4.10 At its 17th session, held in July 2002, the Executive Committee endorsed the Director's view that the companies had not provided any information in their pleadings which would modify the Committee's position that the *Slops* should not be considered a 'ship' for the purpose of the 1992 Fund Convention and instructed the Director to oppose the action accordingly (document 92FUND/EXC.17/10, paragraph 3.5.10).
- 4.11 The Director has instructed the 1992 Fund's Greek lawyer in accordance with the Executive Committee's decision.
- 4.12 The Committee will be informed of the outcome of the court hearing to be held on 8 October 2002.

5 Action to be taken by the Executive Committee

The Executive Committee is invited to take note of the information contained in this document.
