



International Oil Pollution
Compensation Funds

Agenda Item 3	IOPC/APR25/3/4	
Date	17 March 2025	
Original	English	
1992 Fund Assembly	92AES29	
1992 Fund Executive Committee	92EC84	●
Supplementary Fund Assembly	SAES13	

INCIDENTS INVOLVING THE IOPC FUNDS — 1992 FUND

AGIA ZONI II

Note by the Secretariat

Objective of document: To inform the 1992 Fund Executive Committee of the latest developments regarding this incident.

Summary: The product tanker *Agia Zoni II* (1 597 GT, built 1972), laden with approximately 2 194 metric tons (mt) of heavy fuel oil, and 370 mt of marine gas oil (MGO)^{<1>}, sank at anchor on 10 September 2017, close to Salamina island and the northern part of the designated Piraeus anchorage area in the Saronic Gulf, Greece. Upon sinking, or shortly thereafter, it is believed that approximately 500 tonnes of oil were released, contaminating approximately 20–25 kilometres of the mainland in the vicinity of Athens and Piraeus and 3–4 kilometres of Salamina island. Clean-up operations commenced very shortly after the incident and concluded at the end of 2017.

In November 2017, the wreck of the *Agia Zoni II* was lifted and towed to the salvor's shipyard at Salamina island, where it was arrested by the Public Prosecutor pending his investigation into the cause of the incident. As at 4 March 2025, it remains at the salvor's shipyard.

Limitation fund claims evaluation procedure

The Limitation Fund Administrator concluded the evaluation procedure of the claims filed at the Limitation Court (totalling EUR 94.4 million) by publishing his provisional assessed amount totalling EUR 45.45 million. Various parties (including the three main clean-up contractors) appealed against the Limitation Fund Administrator's assessments, seeking higher compensation. The 1992 Fund also appealed requesting the compensation to be reduced. In September 2020, the 1992 Fund filed additional claims against the limitation fund in respect of the claims it had paid but which had not been subrogated due to the short period (six months) set under Greek law for filing claims against the limitation fund, which expired in May 2018.

<1> The vessel also carried approximately 15 mt of bunkers of MGO, 300 litres of lubricants and 200–300 litres of chemicals.

In October 2020, the Administrator dismissed the claims due to the time bar. As a result, the 1992 Fund filed an appeal for a judgment resolving the apparent contradiction between the time allowed by the presidential decree 666/1982 for submission of claims to the limitation fund and the time bar provided by the 1992 Civil Liability Convention (CLC). All appeals against the Administrator's decision were dismissed and appeals have been filed before the Appeals Court which were heard in September 2024. Judgment is awaited.

Claims assessment

Assessment of the 424 claims filed against the 1992 Fund has continued. As at 4 March 2025, 418 claims have been approved and compensation payments for 192 claims totalling EUR 16.92 million have been paid.

Legal proceedings against the 1992 Fund

Claims have been filed against the 1992 Fund by the clean-up contractors; representatives of 78 fishers; the Greek State; and 49 further claimants in the tourism, fisheries and clean-up sectors.

Investigation into the cause of the incident

The results of the two investigations that have been conducted into the cause of the incident have reached different conclusions: one determining that the *Agia Zoni II* sank after an intentional explosion, and the other that it sank after the seawater ballast valves were intentionally opened.

In 2021, a disciplinary tribunal was instituted by the Greek Mercantile Marine^{<2>} against the crew members mentioned in the Marine Accident Investigation Council (ASNA) report who were on board the *Agia Zoni II* at the time of sinking, and the senior representative of the salvor. The disciplinary tribunal dealt with the reasons for the sinking of the ship but did not examine the ASNA report's criticism of the salvor for their delayed antipollution response.

In June 2022, a judgment (1891/2022) was made by the Piraeus Multi-Member Court of First Instance on the appeals filed against the Limitation Fund Administrator's assessments. The Court dismissed all appeals of all parties and generally upheld the Limitation Fund Administrator's assessments. A number of claimants, including the 1992 Fund, appealed and a hearing date in February 2024 was set, but subsequently adjourned.

Recent developments:

Details of a decision of the Council of judges regarding the possible criminal actions of various parties, were released to the 1992 Fund's lawyers and are summarised in section 6.3 of this document. Further to the investigation, the criminal trial commenced on 24 October 2024, before continuing in 2025 with a number of further witnesses interviewed. It is expected that the trial will conclude by May 2025, following which the Court will issue its judgment.

Relevant documents:

The [online *Agia Zoni II* incident report](#) can be found via the Incidents section of the IOPC Funds' website.

Action to be taken: 1992 Fund Executive Committee

Information to be noted.

1 Summary of incident

Ship	<i>Agia Zoni II</i>
Date of incident	10.09.2017
Place of incident	Saronic Gulf, Greece
Cause of incident	Intentional sinking — circumstances under investigation
Quantity of oil spilled	Unknown but estimated to be approximately 500 tonnes ^{<3>}
Area affected	3–4 km of the coastline of Salamina island and 20–25 km of the coastline south of Piraeus Port and Athens, Saronic Gulf, Greece
Flag State of ship	Greece
Gross tonnage	1 597 GT
P&I insurer	Lodestar Marine Limited ^{<4>}
CLC limit	SDR 4.51 million (EUR 5.53 million) ^{<5>}
STOPIA/TOPIA applicable	Not applicable
CLC + Fund limit	SDR 203 million (EUR 244.78 million) ^{<6>}
Legal proceedings	<p>Limitation proceedings were commenced by the insurer. The Limitation Fund Administrator published the provisional assessment of claims filed at the limitation fund. Eight claimants appealed the assessment. In June 2022, the Piraeus Multi-Member Court of First Instance dismissed all appeals. The 1992 Fund has subsequently appealed on two grounds, namely that the 1992 Fund should be entitled to question the provisional list of claims assessed by the limitation fund administrator, and that the 1992 Fund should have the right to submit its subrogated claims against the limitation fund later than the time provided under Greek procedural rules. Judgment is awaited following the hearing which took place in September 2024.</p> <p>The 1992 Fund has been served with legal proceedings by the three main clean-up contractors, a claim by 78 fishers, the Greek State and 49 further claims by claimants in the tourism, fisheries and clean-up sectors.</p>

2 Background information

- 2.1 The product tanker *Agia Zoni II* (1 597 GT, built 1972), laden with approximately 2 194 metric tons (mt) of heavy fuel oil, and 370 mt of marine gas oil (MGO), sank at anchor in good weather conditions at 0200 hours on 10 September 2017, close to Salamina island and the northern part of the designated Piraeus anchorage area in the Saronic Gulf, Greece. It is believed that approximately 500 tonnes of oil were released upon sinking or shortly thereafter, contaminating approximately 20–25 kilometres of the mainland in the vicinity of Athens and Piraeus and 3–4 kilometres of Salamina island. Clean-up operations commenced very shortly after the incident and concluded at the end of 2017.

^{<3>} Some 2 200 mt of fuel oil and oily water mixture were pumped from the wreck of the *Agia Zoni II*.

^{<4>} Lodestar Marine Limited sold its fixed premium insurance business to Thomas Miller Speciality, a market leading global insurance provider.

^{<5>} Based on the limitation fund figure established at the Piraeus Court of First Instance in October 2017.

^{<6>} Based on the exchange rate applicable when the 1992 Fund Executive Committee authorised payment, 2 November 2017, i.e. SDR 1 = EUR 1.2058.

- 2.2 In November 2017, the wreck of the *Agia Zoni II* was lifted and towed to the salvor's shipyard at Salamina island, where it was arrested by the Public Prosecutor pending his investigation into the cause of the incident. The wreck was drydocked in June 2018 and samples of the hull plating were taken before the wreck was refloated. As at 4 March 2025, it remains at the salvor's shipyard where it is the subject of a dispute between the salvor and shipowner regarding its condition at the time of redelivery.
- 2.3 Further background information is provided in more detail in the [online *Agia Zoni II* incident report](#).

3 **Applicability of the Conventions**

3.1 Insurance details

The *Agia Zoni II* was insured for oil pollution risks and wreck removal with Lodestar Marine Limited, a fixed premium insurer, which was not part of the International Group of P&I Associations (International Group). The ship did not have any hull insurance. The insurance policy between the shipowner and the insurer contains a limit of liability of EUR 5 million. Nevertheless, the insurer indicated that it would honour the blue card it issued, which has a limit of SDR 4.51 million (EUR 5.53 million). The insurer established a limitation fund for EUR 5.59 million by lodging a bank guarantee with the Court.

4 **Claims for compensation**

- 4.1 The 1992 Fund has received 424 claims amounting to EUR 100.21 million and one claim for property damage of USD 175 000. The 1992 Fund has approved 418 claims and paid some EUR 16.92 million in compensation. Further offers of compensation and advance payments have been made to a number of claimants whose responses are awaited. Further details of the claims received and payments made by the 1992 Fund are provided in the table below:

Claims submitted to the 1992 Fund as at 4 March 2025						
Claim category	Claimed		Approved		Paid by 1992 Fund	
	No	Amount (EUR)	No	Amount (EUR)	No	Amount (EUR)
Clean up	34	83.54 million	30	16.26 million	28	16.05 million*
Environmental monitoring	6	123 050	5	95 963	4	95 963
Fisheries	195	7.13 million	195	39 614	44	39 614
Property	120	1.02 million	119	200 819	86	200 818
Tourism	66	8.31 million	66	755 359	33	534 633
Other	2	94 000	2	0	0	0
Property damage (USD)	1	175 000	1	0	0	0
Total	424	100.21 million + USD 175 000	418	17.35 million	195	16.92 million

* Several advance payments and further offers of advance payments have been made pending full assessments of the claims.

4.2 Claims submitted by the clean-up contractors, including wreck removal expenses

- 4.2.1 Further details of the 34 claims, amounting to EUR 83.54 million submitted to the limitation fund and to the 1992 Fund by clean-up contractors and other companies, are provided in document [IOPC/OCT19/3/11](#). The Greek State amended its claim for liquid waste disposal costs by virtue of a ministerial decision of the Minister of Maritime Affairs and Insular Policy. The amended claim was paid in February 2024.
- 4.2.2 The claims relate to (1) oil removal from the wreck; (2) shoreline clean-up; (3) preparation for, and actual, wreck removal; (4) subsequent cleaning of the wreck in order for the Public Prosecutor's investigation to be conducted; and (5) caretaking of the wreck following cleaning. Together, these claims cover the period from 10 September 2017, when the *Agia Zoni II* sank, to 30 June 2018.

4.3 Limitation proceedings

- 4.3.1 The 1992 Fund cooperated closely with, and met on numerous occasions, the Limitation Fund Administrator to discuss issues of applicability under the Conventions regarding those claims which were filed both with the limitation fund and the 1992 Fund's claims submission office. Broadly speaking, there was a close correlation between the Limitation Fund Administrator's assessments and those of the 1992 Fund's experts.
- 4.3.2 By 5 May 2018 (the date by which claims against the limitation fund were to be filed), the Limitation Fund Administrator had received 84 claims, totalling EUR 94.4 million. The 1992 Fund submitted its subrogated claim in respect of the claims that it had paid by 5 May 2018. The Limitation Fund Administrator concluded the claims evaluation procedure in September 2019 by publishing the provisional assessments at a figure of EUR 45.45 million. Under Greek law, every claimant against the limitation fund had the opportunity to accept the assessments or file an appeal against the list of accepted claims, within 30 days of the publication of the provisional assessments. Eight parties (including the 1992 Fund) appealed the Limitation Fund Administrator's assessments.
- 4.3.3 In September 2020, the 1992 Fund's lawyers filed additional claims for some EUR 798 000 against the limitation fund in respect of the subrogated claims the 1992 Fund had paid since 5 May 2018 (the date set under Greek law for filing claims against the limitation fund), or which had not been recognised by the Limitation Fund Administrator since the publication of his assessment in September 2019. In October 2020, the claims were dismissed due to the time bar. The 1992 Fund filed an appeal and further supplementary pleadings in respect of the subrogated claims it had paid.
- 4.3.4 In June 2022, the Piraeus Multi-Member Court of First Instance issued a judgment (1891/2022) on the appeals against the Limitation Fund Administrator's assessments, which generally ratified the amounts accepted by the Limitation Fund Administrator and dismissed further claims including those of the 1992 Fund for the subrogated claims it had paid. For further information on the judgment, see document [IOPC/NOV23/3/9](#).
- 4.3.5 In late 2022, the 1992 Fund appealed against judgment 1891/2022, on two legal issues, namely: (1) whether the 1992 Fund had the right to appeal against the Limitation Fund Administrator's list of claims; and (2) what was the significance of the extinction of time provided in Article VIII of the 1992 Civil Liability Convention (1992 CLC), when the limitation fund had been established. The Court set a hearing date in February 2024 which was the earliest available date, but this was adjourned until September 2024.
- 4.3.6 At the September 2024 hearings, five appeals were heard against the first instance judgment issued in the limitation proceedings. The appeals addressed legal points (the right of the 1992 Fund to question directly the provisional list of claims issued by the Limitation Fund Administrator, and to

submit subrogated claims against the limitation fund later than the time permitted under Greek procedural rules) and points on the merits regarding the reasonableness of the claims awarded in the first instance.

- 4.3.7 Judgment is not expected for several months, following which any interested party may file an appeal before the Supreme Court (limited to examining legal errors in the interpretation of the law). Under Greek procedural rules, the distribution of the limitation fund will commence upon the Supreme Court issuing its judgment, or the time period for appealing before the Supreme Court lapsing with no such appeal having been filed.

5 Civil proceedings

- 5.1 The following claims have been filed against the 1992 Fund in the Greek courts:

Claims submitted against the 1992 Fund in the Greek courts		
Claim category	Number of claims in court	Amount of claim (EUR)
Clean up	7	73.33 million
Environmental monitoring	2	27 086
Fisheries	36	3.35 million
Property	3	54 373
Tourism	6	4.3 million
Total	54*	81.06 million

* Some claims have been filed on behalf of several claimants.

- 5.2 For further details of the claims filed against the 1992 Fund in the Greek courts, see document [IOPC/NOV23/3/9](#).
- 5.3 The hearings of all writs of action against the 1992 Fund have been adjourned until an unappealable judgment is issued against the limitation fund.

6 Investigation into the cause of the incident

- 6.1 Full details of the investigations into the cause of the sinking, the investigations and conclusions reached by the National Technical University of Athens and by the Marine Accident Investigation Council (ASNA) for the Public Prosecutor are detailed in document [IOPC/OCT19/3/11](#).
- 6.2 In June 2021, the 1992 Fund's Greek lawyer received a summons to attend before the fifth Investigating Judge of Piraeus and be deposed as a witness in the matter of the *Agia Zoni II* criminal investigation for the acts of (a) wilful shipwrecking and (b) wilful pollution. The 1992 Fund's lawyer answered various questions, mainly dealing with the procedure followed for the compensation of claims, with emphasis on the clean-up contractors' claims.
- 6.3 Decision of the Council of judges
- 6.3.1 In March 2024, the 1992 Fund's Greek lawyers were allowed by the District Attorney to obtain copies of the criminal file for the incident, which included decisions of the criminal judges sitting in Council, as follows:

Surveys/investigations

- 6.3.2 All experts appointed by the authorities agree that the *Agia Zoni II* was sunk intentionally, but only the National Technical University of Athens attributes the loss to the use of explosives set on her hull. All other experts agree that the loss was caused by the opening up from within the ship of the ballast water valves of the bottom starboard ballast tanks 2, 3 and 4, and by leaving open the engine room porthole which allowed more sea water into the engine room once the ship reached the critical draft.
- 6.3.3 All experts agree that oil leaked out of the ship from the cargo hold covers and the cargo survey hatches which were unscrewed from within the ship by the two crew members who remained on the ship (the cargo hold covers had been closed and sealed upon loading by the customs authorities on 9 September 2017).

Criminal evaluation/indictment

- 6.3.4 The criminal investigation commenced on 15 September 2017 and was completed on 9 March 2022. The criminal department of the Piraeus Court handled 21 separate criminal complaints. All of the certificates of the ship were up-to-date, and there were no indications of any criminal liability of the Greek Coast Guard officers in charge of the ship inspection and seaworthiness certificates.
- 6.3.5 The list of parties whose criminal involvement was examined were:
- (1) The engine room foremaster;
 - (2) The AB seaman;
 - (3) The master;
 - (4) The shipowning company representative;
 - (5) The representative of the first clean-up company;
 - (6) The chief engineer;
 - (7) The shipowning company general manager;
 - (8) The designated person ashore (DPA) of the shipowning company; and
 - (9) The representative of the second clean-up company (which was initially on scene but subsequently replaced).
- 6.3.6 The criminal judges concluded that there were no indications of any criminal liability for the four parties listed from 6 to 9 in the above list. However, there appeared strong indications of criminal liability for the five parties listed from 1 to 5 in the above list, on the following grounds:
- (a) As per the opinion of all experts, the ship was intentionally sunk in order to cause pollution;
 - (b) According to all experts (with the exception of the National Technical University of Athens), the sinking was caused by the opening up from within the ship of the ballast water valves of the bottom starboard ballast tanks 2, 3 and 4, and by leaving open the engine room porthole. This could only have been done by the two crew members who remained on board (there was no indication of any third party boarding and leaving covertly);
 - (c) Notwithstanding the progressive starboard list of the ship, the two crew members did not act or notify anyone;
 - (d) Whilst the sinking is estimated to have commenced at about 0125 hours with a gradual starboard list, the Greek Coast Guard was first notified at 0210 hours by another ship close by, without either of the above two crew members on board, or the master or the shipowning company having called earlier;
 - (e) Despite the second clean-up company having previously contacted the shipowning company, and its antipollution vessel having already started operating onsite, the owners of the *Agia Zoni II* awarded the salvage and antipollution contract at 0630 hours with delay, to the first clean-up company which had no experience in that field of work; and

- (f) The closing and sealing of the 11 cargo tank covers was achieved by that company, 53 hours after the ship sank, which is considered a very long timeframe.

6.3.7 In view of the above, the two crew members who remained on board, were indicted for:

- (i) Intentionally causing malicious maritime pollution that could, and did, damage the environment and third-party properties, by unscrewing the cargo tanks' covers from which oil leaked into the sea following the ship's starboard list, which they caused by intentionally allowing ballast water in the starboard bottom ballast tanks 2, 3 and 4;
- (ii) Intentionally sinking the ship by illegally opening the starboard valves of the bottom ballast tanks 2, 3 and 4, and opening the engine room port hole, which endangered human life; and
- (iii) Intentionally discharging polluting materials into the sea.

6.3.8 The master, the shipowning company representative and the representative of the first clean-up company were indicted as instigators of all above criminal actions, aiming to cause extensive maritime pollution in order to benefit from the remuneration for antipollution works.

6.3.9 It may be noted that the decision of the criminal judges committing the above five parties to trial contained the following negative remarks about the first clean-up company:

- The quality and speed of antipollution services offered is considered insufficient since the company had no antipollution expertise or experience; and
- That company's personnel were not co-operating with the authorities but, on the contrary, tried to prevent persons acting for the Greek authorities gaining access to the wreck.

6.3.10 A date of 24 October 2024 was set for a full trial with the five indicted parties facing the charges mentioned above. As at 18 February 2025, over 30 witnesses had been called with a further nine defence witnesses expected to complete their depositions by the beginning of March 2025. At the end of the trial, a judgment is to be issued.

6.3.11 As at 18 February 2025, the witnesses deposed include crew and masters of the rescue boats and of the Hellenic Coastguard attending the sinking vessel, executives, crew, and masters of vessels owned by the clean-up companies involved, representatives of the environmental monitoring organisation, naval architects and engineers of the Marine Accident Investigation Board (ASNA) and at the National Technical University of Athens, and experts from the Greek State.

6.3.12 Given the continuing criminal investigation, it is not appropriate to disclose further details of the depositions at this time.

6.4 Impact of the investigative reports on the 1992 Fund's payment of compensation

6.4.1 The 1992 Fund has received legal advice from its lawyers not to continue to assess and make payment of the claims for compensation submitted by the representatives of the salvor/the clean-up contracting company which has been specifically mentioned in the ASNA report, pending the results of the criminal proceedings.

6.4.2 For further information on the 1992 Fund's Greek lawyer's advice, see document [IOPC/NOV23/3/9](#).

6.5 Disciplinary tribunal

6.5.1 In early 2021, the Greek Mercantile Marine initiated disciplinary proceedings against the crew on board the *Agia Zoni II* at the time it sank, which had been identified in the previously published ASNA report. Specifically, the ASNA report attributed the loss of the ship and subsequent pollution to the deliberate (and negligent) actions of:

- (i) the shipowner;
- (ii) the two crew members on board at the time of the incident;
- (iii) the general manager of the shipowning company;
- (iv) the designated person ashore (DPA) of the shipowning company; and
- (v) representatives of the clean-up contractor/salvor.

- 6.5.2 The disciplinary tribunal ruled that the master was liable due to negligence for the loss of the ship because he had allowed all the crew to go ashore (including himself), apart from the foreman and able seaman, and this action limited the ability to respond to the emergency.
- 6.5.3 The 1992 Fund's lawyers state that the disciplinary tribunal deals only with the disciplinary punishment of the seafarers and has no jurisdiction over the clean-up contractor/salvor. Specifically, the disciplinary tribunal dealt with the reasons for the sinking of the ship and did not examine the ASNA report's criticism of the clean-up contractor/salvor for the delayed antipollution response.
- 6.5.4 The 1992 Fund's lawyers concluded that both the ASNA report and the report by the National Technical University of Athens accept that the ship was scuttled, but they disagree upon the technical reasons.

7 Director's considerations

- 7.1 The 1992 Fund continues to deal with claims that have been validly presented.
- 7.2 After almost eight years, the conclusion to the criminal trial of the individuals indicted for their alleged involvement in the cause of the incident remains pending. The Director will report the results of the criminal trial in due course. Witnesses are still being examined and a judgment is not expected until late in 2025.
- 7.3 The 1992 Fund has filed appeals in respect of the legal issues arising from judgment 1891/2022, dealing with claims filed at the limitation fund, since the 1992 Fund should be able to recover the subrogated claims it has paid to claimants in lieu of those claims being paid out of the limitation fund.
- 7.4 The Director will continue to monitor this incident and report the latest developments to the 1992 Fund Executive Committee.

8 Action to be taken

1992 Fund Executive Committee

The 1992 Fund Executive Committee is invited to take note of the information contained in this document.
